Computational screening of organic molecules for flow battery applications

Pekka Peljo, Materials Engineering, University of Turku

pekka.peljo@utu.fi



Acknowledgements

些

Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungary

Mikhail Pugach et al.

Imre Pápai Ádám Madarász Andrea Hamza Flóra Németh

Aalto University, Finland

Kari Laasonen et al.



Petri Pihko Anton Nechaev



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

Daniel Brandell Alexis Rucci



Università di Pisa Aldo Bichi, Antonio Bertei et al.



Gabriel Gonzalez

compbat

https://compbat.eu/



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No **875565**.

State of the art: RFBs



1 MW, 5 h

State of the art: RFBs



What alternatives?

What elements?



Fe, Cr, Al, Mn, Cu, Ti

Organic compounds

Vesborg & Jaramillo, RSC Advances, 2012, 2, 7933-7947

What alternatives?

- Organic molecules
 - Pontentially inexpensive
 - Performance in pair with VRFBs
 - Sustainable?
- Metal complexes
 - Fe, Ti, Mn and other metals available in large scale
 - New ligands required
- Questions
 - Synthesis?
 - Stability?
 - Cost?

Tunable by changing structure

How to choose the materials?

Experimental approach

- Test everything that could be redox active that we have in the cupboards
 - We even found some chemicals made in Germany in 1930-1940s.
 - Tested >20 compounds



Rasmus Rönkä, Mahsa Shahsavan

Computer aided screening of materials

- Advanced computational tools
 - Density functional theory
 - Build up a database
 - Machine learning
 - Understanding of trends
 - Evaluation of redox potentials and solvation free energies